

Review Report

Name of the
Article:

Name of the
Evaluator ¹:

Type of Article (Check with an X):

☐

Research

☐

Reflection

☐

Review

General instructions for conducting the evaluation: Below are the criteria that will guide you in the evaluation process. We ask that, in the first part, you assign a score based on each of them and describe the reasons supporting your evaluation. At the end, it is very important for the author that you provide the justification for your decision regarding the publication. (If you wish, you can add additional comments on the article file.)

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM SCORE	ASSIGNED SCORE	QUALITATIVE SUPPORT (REASONS)
1. ORIGINALITY			
1.1 The central theme of the article is original.	50		
2. METHODOLOGICAL RIGOR			
2.1 The type of study is clearly described and aligns with the results.	20		
2.2 Clarity in the handling of information is evident in the text	20		
2.3 There is coherence between the results and the objectives.	20		
3. TEXT STRUCTURE			
3.1 Clear, concise, and precise title.	20		
3.2 Includes all the components of an article (abstract, keywords, introduction, methodology, results and discussion, conclusions, references).	20		
3.3 Consistency between the title, abstract, and content.	20		
4. TREATMENT OF THE TOPIC			
4.1 Presents an adequate sequence and coherence in the development of the topic.	20		

If the evaluator deems it necessary, they may add new pages to this form with additional comments or suggestions.

4.2 The treatment of the topics consults updated and reliable sources.	80		
5. RELEVANCE			
5.1 The text is important for the community, the institution, and the advancement of knowledge in the field.	100		
5.2 The author's contributions present argumentative coherence.	50		
6. FORMAL ASPECTS OF THE ARTICLE			
6.1 Style (clarity, conciseness, precision, and coherence)	20		
6.2 Grammar (agreement, punctuation, and spelling)	30		
6.3 Presentation (use of author guidelines or standards)	20		
6.4 The bibliographic references are sufficient, current, relevant, and in accordance with the standard.	10		
TOTAL	500		

FINAL DECISION ABOUT THE PUBLICATION: (Check with an X)

- ☐ Approved
☐ Approved subject to **minor** changes.
☐ Approved subject to **major** changes and requires re-evaluation.
☐ Rejected

GENERAL REASONS FOR THE FINAL DECISION:

Evaluator's Conflict of Interest Statement: (Check with an X):

As an evaluator, I declare that I DO NOT_____ have a conflict of interest in conducting the requested evaluation.

Evaluator's Confidentiality and Plagiarism Statement: (Check with an X):

As an evaluator, I commit to maintaining my anonymity and WILL **NOT**_____ disclose the results of the evaluation, and if I identify any potential plagiarism, I will **report** it in the observations included in this form.

Date of Receipt of the Article: __/__/__ Date of Evaluation: __/__/__